

Case 7:14-cv-00564-JPJ-RSB Document 3 Filed 11/17/14 Page 1 of 2 Pageid#: 50

executed consent form, or otherwise communicated with the court. Accordingly, I will dismiss the petition without prejudice.<sup>1</sup>

A separate Final Order will be entered herewith. The clerk will send a copy of that Final Order and this Opinion to the petitioner.

DATED: November 17, 2014

/s/ James P. Jones  
United States District Judge

---

<sup>1</sup> I also find without merit Williams' § 2241 challenge to prison officials' discretionary decision to classify him as a disruptive group member. Prisoners do not have a constitutional right to a particular classification status. *See Moody v. Daggett*, 429 U.S. 78, 88 n. 9 (1976) (noting that under 18 U.S.C. § 4081, "Congress has given federal prison officials full discretion to control . . . conditions of confinement" such as classification and segregation, and thus a federal prisoner "has no legitimate statutory or constitutional entitlement sufficient to invoke due process"); *Hernandez v. Johnston*, 833 F.2d 1316, 1318 (9th Cir. 1987) (affirming ruling that "a prisoner has no constitutional right to a particular classification status"). As Williams' current designation in the Bureau of Prison's ("BOP") classification scheme does not implicate any constitutionally protected right, officials' refusal to alter that designation is not grounds for habeas relief. *See* § 2241(c)(3) (authorizing writ of habeas corpus where prisoner shows "[h]e is in custody in violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States"); *Ramirez v. Norwood*, No. CV 07-3851-JVS (RNB), 2009 WL 2949747 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 14, 2009) (finding no ground for habeas relief under § 2241 regarding challenge to BOP classification of petitioner as disruptive group member).